

# Penn Fields Teaching and Learning Policy

# Penn Fields School Teaching & Learning Policy: 'Mark, Plan, Teach... ... Evaluate'

Summary framework: This policy is indebted to the TeacherToolkit blog

### This policy is designed to:

- Maximise teacher impact on student learning at each stage of the teaching and learning process
- Reduce unnecessary or inefficient elements of teacher workload
- Develop assessment-capable learners who can answer: 'Where am I going? How am I going? Where to next?

#### Mark

Marking is written feedback to students. It has two purposes:

- so students make better progress by acting on your feedback
- to inform your future planning and teaching ('feed forward').
- 1. Marking must be primarily formative and be very clear about what students must act upon
- 2. Marking and feedback must be regular (a minimum of weekly) and relate to student success criteria and the SOLO learning intentions set in the lesson.
- 3. The school's agreed marking code must be used.
- 4. Marking should be 'meaningful, manageable and motivating'. Quality should not be confused with quantity; the quality will be seen in the effect it has in subsequent work.

#### Plan

Planning is a process not a product; its purpose is to improve the learning of every student


- 1. Teachers must have a secure overview of every student's starting points, needs, progress and context to plan effective differentiation; challenge; teaching strategies; and deployment of additional adults (e.g. TLSAs)
- 2. Planning will use a range of learning approaches to ensure each student can access materials and engage in lessons and tasks.
- 3. Learning Intentions: Be clear and precise about the knowledge/skills you want students to <u>learn</u>, not what you want them to <u>do</u>. Break them down.
- 4. Success Criteria: be equally clear and precise about how the students can show you (or each other) what they have learned. Link surface to deep learning using the SOLO Taxonomy [one idea; many ideas; relate; extend], presented in a school-wide consistent format to maximise impact.
- 5. There must be evidence of long-term planning from your subject overview and schemes of learning
- 6. The place where your scheme of work is differentiated to meet the needs of a particular class is in the Scheme of Learning
- 7. Every class must have a seating plan that supports learning.
- 8. Homework, wherever set, should be built into curriculum planning and its contribution to learning made explicit [Homework policy is currently under discussion].
- 9. Lessons should be active throughout. Students should be purposeful throughout and at a suitable pace to challenge all learners whilst taking into consideration their individual needs and starting points. All lessons should be planned and delivered using SOLO Taxonomy

#### Teach

Teaching is a lifetime's craft. "Every teacher needs to improve, not because they are not good enough, but because they can be even better." (Professor Dylan Wiliam)

- Teachers must be explicit about success criteria; SOLO learning intentions should be shared in each lesson and referred to in all feedback. Student awareness and understanding of the SOLO learning intentions and where they are in relation to them, is crucial. SOLO Taxonomy can be adapted to reflect and support the needs of individuals, classes and groups using agreed formats and resources
- 2. Teaching something does not mean that it has been learned; systematically check how well learning has 'stuck' through questioning and through how well the work produced meets the success criteria
- 3. All students should be asked targeted questions to check on or develop understanding, rather than relying on 'hands up'. Electronic or 'lolly stick' random name generators can be used to assist student focus
- 4. The importance of verbal feedback cannot be overstated given the literacy difficulties of so many of our students. Its impact should be observable in the students' next steps; if recording verbal feedback is useful for Solar targets or to move students on it should be contextualised to have maximum impact.
- 5. Feedback should be targeted at task, process or self-regulation levels. Distinguish this from praise. Feedback should be given in the way that you judge the most appropriate for the students cognitive and developmental ability.
- 6. Go with the learning: the 'flow' of great progress is more important than sticking rigidly to a lesson plan.
- 7. We are all teachers of literacy and numeracy and so should embed reading, writing and communication and, where appropriate, mathematics in our teaching. Implementing the Marking Code and consistent strategies to build vocabulary across the curriculum will support this. An additional marking code for numeracy is under consideration.
- 8. Teachers should consider how they use technology to support learning; whether that is using the interactive whiteboard, IPads or web-based resources

## Repeat as necessary, then... ...evaluate!



Penn Fields School Teaching and Learning Policy 2021

Evaluate!

#### **Evaluate**

"My role as teacher is to evaluate the effect I have on my students" (Professor John Hattie)

Periodically (usually just prior to each half term) all teachers will evaluate their impact on student learning using the relevant subject assessment framework(s), enter that data onto the school system and then review the following:

- 1. What areas of skills, knowledge, and understanding have students mastered and become fluent in?
- 2. Which students are 'on track', which are doing better and who needs a closer look or possible intervention?
- 3. How do current 'flightpaths' compare with our expectations of good progress from each student's starting points?
- 4. Where has learning 'stuck' and where has it not?
- 5. How do I use this feedback to me to inform my future planning and teaching?
- 6. Who will I work with in order to keep improving?
- 7. What focused feedback do I now give to each student to improve their progress?
- 8. Is there a focus area that I might explore or improve by drawing on existing CPD and collaboration with colleagues?

#### Mindframes of Teachers (John Hattie et al)

- 1. I am an evaluator of my impact
- 2. I am a change agent
- 3. I explicitly inform students what success looks like from the outset
- 4. I see assessment as providing feedback to me about my impact
- 5. I work with other teachers to develop common conceptions of progress
- 6. I engage in dialogue more than monologue
- 7. I strive for challenge and not 'doing your best'
- 8. I use the language of learning
- 9. I see errors as opportunities for learning
- 10. I collaborate